O. F. 71 & 72—652,616—21-11-30.

O.F. 71 & 72.

19

Department of

OFFICE

STATION

No. 2501

22-8-32

To whom sent	Date	Initial
	Given for copying	
	Copied	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
	To be destroyed on*	

(SUBJECT.)

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

A1355

C.F.No.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Revenue DEPARTMENT B-2137

Received 21 APR 1932 Registered

From (Name) A. M. A. C. Galletti Esq., I.A., I.C.S. Board

(Designation) Collector of Bellary.

Post Box, (Station)

Telegraphic Address

To The Inam Commissioner,

Madras.

19 APR 1932 LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

Ref.C.No.6714/31A3 dated 9-4-32. 19

Sir,

Enclosures

Spare Copies

Assessment- Quit rent- Hospet Taluk- Hampi village- Paimash No. 176- report.

An extent of Acres 5.64 out of Paimash No. 176 (Acres.65) village site poramboke of Shrotriem Hampi village, Hospet taluk, has been assigned on patta by the shrotriemdar. The assignment has been ordered to be brought under shrotriem holdings and an errata issued for the correction of the village A register under communal porambokes.

KB 21-22 p 25 (C) p 21 (V)

2. The shrotriemdar is reported to be collecting an assessment of Rs.1-7-4 per acre from the assignees. He is willing to pay to Government the quit rent to be fixed on the land assigned by him.

3. The shrotriemdar failed to obtain the permission of Collector for assigning the above portions of the village site poramboke as required by section 20 of the Madras Estates Land Act. The present extent of Acres 7.71 now available for village site is reported to be quite sufficient for a declining village like Hampi with no prospect of expansion. The assignments may therefore be allowed to stand.

p 1124 in Madras Code Vol II

4. As the shrotriemdar is deriving a benefit from the lands assigned by him, he is liable to enhanced quit rent He pays no quit rent on the shrotriem holdings in this village as they are attached to the pagoda at Hampi (vide T.D.No. 1957 of the village) so there is no present rate of quit rent to be reported and no proportionate calculation

R. F. XXII-A-19—30,004—2-2-31 (6)

FOR MEMBER.

A (Lie) Crown Pet
Draw Pet AM 24/6/32
Draw Petitions
25.6.32

Subject.

Sand (Bellary) Prospecting Licence - Hanumanahalli Dainavaka 176 - Grant of Ownership.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " _____ 193

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____ From the A.1355/32. No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, _____, " _____ 193

" _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

J. 28.6.32 dg pm. 10.7.32.
2516.

II 11.7.32 dg pm 26.7.32.
11/7.

II 8.8.32 dg pm 23.8.32
8/8.

RESOLUTION &c.

A No. 1355/32-1. dated 26.4.1932

p. 1118 of Madras Code vol. II.

DES... LEI
27 APR 1932

The Collector of Bellary is requested to report (1) whether the village in question is an estate within the meaning of Section 3 (2)(d) of the Madras Estates Land Act 1 (2) whether the boundaries is of permanent or of post Settlement origin

He is also requested to submit the records connected with (1) The recognition of the assignment of a portion of the land under Section 1 (2) (i) issue of an erratum for the correction of the village A. Register under Communal boundaries

To the Collector of Bellary.

dg 2.7.32

C F. 32-(a).

P 244 85 Record 5

DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title *

Received *Registered*

From (Name) P. V. Stewart Esqr. C.I.E., M.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box, (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address

To The Secretary to the Commissioners
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Madras.

Ref. No. 6714/32, *dated* 4th August 1932.

Sir, A-3.

*Land - Hospet Taluk - Hampi village - Pairash No.176 ownership - report submitted.

Your Ref. No.A-1055/31-1 dated 26-4-32.

ENCLOSURES 2
Files. P 30f

SPARE COPIES

The village of Hampi is an estate within the meanin of sec. 3 (2), cd, of the Madras Estates land Act and the Poramboke Pairash No.176 is of pre-settlement origin as it finds place in the ditwar accout.

2. The records connected with (1) the recognition of the assignment of a portion of the land and (2) the issue of an erratum for the correction of the village A Register under 'Communal Porambokes' are submitted herewith.

P. V Stewart

for Collector.

K.A.R.3-8-32.

R. F. XXII-A-19- 80,004—2-2-31.(6)

Subject.

FOR MEMBER.

Land (Bellary) Hospet tk - Hanchanal village - Survey No. 176 - Government Poramboke granted

cD
M 1xx12
Allowed

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ ,dated _____ 193

" " _____ , " _____ 193

READ ALSO :-

Registar No. _____. From the A. 1355/32 office 264/32 No. _____ , dated _____ 193 - Qs

" " _____ , " " A. 2485/32 _____ , " _____ 193

" " _____ , " " _____ " " _____ , " _____ 193 .

RESOLUTION. (Revised) No. 2521, dated 22-8-1932

p. 5 Cf.

Being a presettlement torcum-bar received from the Commissioner the Collector of Bellary should not have ~~had no right to~~ recognised the Shotriemdar as owner of land in question. As Government he Shotriemdar has been in enjoyment for a long time the Board consider that it is desirable ~~to test~~ to recognise him ~~the Shotriemdar~~ the as having rights to land and to fix the quit rent at eight annas per acre.

2. The Collector (Revised) is requested to note the Shotriemdar should not assign any more land.

for Secretary

The Collector of Bellary with 2 files returned

dg
22/8
for 22/8/32

Note File

Land (Bellary) Hosbet Tk - Kanakur Dt
Pairanoor no. 116 - Gramthan.
A. 1353/32.

Please see the Collector's letter at pgs 1-2 of file facts. Paras 1 & 2 deal with the gramthan and the consequent recognition of the assignment made by the landholder and para. 3 & 4 the question of levying assessment. Para 5 seeks the orders of Govt.

pg 5 of.

The village site in question is an estate under § 3(2)(d) of Act-I of 1908 The Poramboke (Pairanoor no. 173 — village site) is a pasturage and reserved for the common use of the villagers. Section 20 of the Act applies and the reversionary right in all such poramboke vests in Govt. Of any portion of these communal poramboke which is required for the purpose for which it was originally intended reserved the assignment is the Govt and it should be disposed of under the standard rules.

- 50 -

p. 1124 of Madras Code Vol II.

p 5 - (K.) G.O. no. 116 dt 2.1.24.

In this view I remain

the sites by the landholder is subsequent recognition by the S.A.S.L and the collector and carrying out the changes in the village A. Register are ultra vires. and are, therefore, abinitio void

b.25 & (c) (v)

The assignments are, however, reported to have been made long ago (i.e) more than 70 years ago. The question whether such assignments should now be satisfied or recognised by the Govt. and whether it is necessary to levy quit rent and if so, at what rate will be examined in the Inam Sections.

b.8 & (c)

Note by Inam section

As the assignments have been made long ago the best course seems to be to recognize the ~~shrotriemdars~~ nigamdars right to the area and include it under the whole inam T.D. Accounts. Quit rent will have to be levied with reference to the assessment appropriate to the land and the rate that was adopted at the time of the Inam settlement. The rate of assessment 1-7-4 per acre seems reasonable. However, the Collr may be asked to report the assessment on the land appropriate to the classification (with reference to similar land to be levied)

As for the rate of quit rent, it is submitted

by 1-8-52 for B

That the whole village became a
devadayam inam, no quit rent
was levied on it. We call propose
the levy of an arbitrary rate of rent
but there is no provision for this.
Perhaps the village site was occupied
by the inamdar ~~subsequent~~ subsequent to inam settlement
and it may be treated as an
encroachment by the inamdar
within 50 years and charged with
quit rent not exceeding 2/3 of the
assessment on the analogy of
rule XXVIII of the Inam rules.

P 433 of Vol II
of 1887

P.D.
17.8.87

The assignments are said
to have been made 70 years ago. Strictly
speaking the lands had to be considered
as forming part of the inam according
to the rule quoted above. But as the
Shrotriemdar is willing to pay quit
rent fixed on the land, a quit rent
not exceeding 2/3 of the assessment
on waste lands may be imposed after
getting the information from the Collr
as to the rate of assessment ~~fixed~~
obtainable for similar lands.

P 8 of (C)

Please see the S.R.D. in
letter at p 21 of (C) to
the Collector forwarding
at p 21 of (V). The Collector
had already recognized the
extent assigned (5.64 acres)
as belonging to the Shrotriemdar.
In view of the long enjoyment
of the land by the Shrotriemdar and the
assignees nothing can be done
now to assert the right of the
Govt to it.

P.D.
1887

20/8/87 S.R.S. Sastri

The Shotteswell is levying
rent @ R.I.T. 4 per acre from
the occupants. The Coll.
proposes a quit rent - 9d 8 e.
per acre. This may be
agreed. The Shotteswell is
willing to pay it (have a letter
dated
1983 2

C really had no right to
recognise the Shotteswell as
owner. But as the Shotteswell
has been in occupation for such a
very long time, it will be best
to recognise Shotteswell's
having rights based on
the OK at 8 as.

1 8 rent
2 assignments seem to have
3 existed over 60 years ago
possibly prescription
arise in
19.

SECY.
18 AUG 1932

(Sir)

Dorset 8b60

I suppose we could renew
it, if it a pre-settlement one
If so the Coll. should see that
the Shotteswell doesn't
assign any more.